

Message

From: Janis Heple [jaheple@dcn.org]
Sent: 4/21/2018 12:32:48 AM
To: Lane, Jackie [Lane.Jackie@epa.gov]
CC: Pickens, Tammy@DTSC [Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov]; Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov; 'Fennessy, Christopher' [christopher.fennessy@rocket.com]; Keller, Lynn [Keller.Lynn@epa.gov]; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards [Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov]
Subject: Re: Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG Concerns

Importance: High

Hi Jackie,
And I believe that the Sacramento Bee is an important vehicle to notice the cleanup plan.
Thank you,
Janis

On 2018-04-20 17:17, Lane, Jackie wrote:

> Dear Peter and Tammy P.:
>
> Can you let us know the public outreach plan you will conduct to
> communicate that RAP/CEQA Proposed Plan public comment period and
> announce the public meeting? I know this has been a lot to do in such
> a short time. You said earlier that you plan to send the proposed plan
> fact sheet to DTSC's mailing list that was developed earlier and would
> be updated. I want to make sure that concern citizens and
> stakeholders who live in the City of Folsom are aware of this proposed
> plan as well. Can you give us a short bullet list of what you plan to
> do? It would be helpful to see it. This way if the group can think
> of more ways to help get the word out, it would be helpful to you
> guys. I ask because I remember when EPA placed a notice for the last
> Five-Year Review in the Sacramento Bee Newspaper. I was advised by the
> CAG to next time to also place a notice in three additional newspapers
> that service the City of Rancho Cordova and other affected areas near
> the Site. It would be helpful to make sure you have contact
> information for environmental groups as well. In addition, it would
> be helpful to talk to the City of Folsom staff who service local
> residents. They keep up-to-date list of local groups and can get the
> word out. In addition, the City has a city newspaper, calendar and
> social media outlets on their webpage that can announce the meeting.
> Local newspapers outlets that I was able to find were the Folsom
> Telegraph Newspaper with an online service, Roseville Newspaper and
> Mountain Democrat. The City can tell you what newspaper is used most
> by their constituents.

> Please advise as you can, Jackie

>
> FROM: Pickens, Tammy@DTSC [mailto:Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov]
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 4:24 PM
> TO: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>; Fennessy,
> Christopher <christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com>
> CC: Keller, Lynn <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>; MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards
> <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Janis Heple <jaheple@dcn.org>;
> Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
> SUBJECT: RE: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns

> Everyone, have the public meeting place been settled?

>
> FROM: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:56 AM
> TO: Fennessy, Christopher <christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com>; Pickens,
> Tammy@DTSC <Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov>
> CC: Keller, Lynn (Keller.Lynn@epa.gov) <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>;
> MacDonald, Alex@Waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Janis
> Heple <jaheple@dcn.org>; Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
> SUBJECT: RE: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns

>
> Understood and agreed Chris re: the City of Folsom's input on the
> public meeting location as you presented this to me previously.

> Tammy our PPS previously expressed support for keeping the public
> meeting at the RC City Hall.
>
> DTSC can discuss internally which alternative day would be best served
> for the RAP Public meeting date. And yes we will have to determine
> whether a 45-day public comment period is warranted, I'm not sure this
> can be actually extended until we assess the public interest & any
> need for extension during the actual comment period (I'll let Tammy
> weigh in).
>
> Who else supports keeping the public meeting at the RC City Hall?
>
> -Pete
>
> FROM: Fennessy, Christopher [mailto:christopher.fennessy@Rocket.com]
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:45 AM
> TO: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC <Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov>; Pickens,
> Tammy@DTSC <Tammy.Pickens@dtsc.ca.gov>
> CC: Keller, Lynn (Keller.Lynn@epa.gov) <Keller.Lynn@epa.gov>;
> MacDonald, Alex@waterboards <Alex.MacDonald@waterboards.ca.gov>; Janis
> Heple <jaheple@dcn.org>; Lane, Jackie <Lane.Jackie@epa.gov>
> SUBJECT: RE: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns
>
> Thanks Peter! Aerojet Rocketdyne spoke with the City of Folsom last
> week regarding this upcoming public meeting. They stated that they
> understood the public meetings for the Aerojet Superfund Site have
> always been held in Rancho Cordova and felt that, even though Area 40
> is within the City of Folsom, the existing meeting location is
> appropriate. They further stated that they would like the public
> notice and fact sheet published on their City webpage to allow City of
> Folsom residents that opportunity to review the information and
> participate in the public meeting in Rancho Cordova.
>
> The last CAG meeting was dedicated to the discussion of the Remedial
> Action Plan. I do not believe an additional meeting on the RAP is
> necessary prior to the public meeting. I respect Janis' desire to
> keep the CAG meeting separate, so we should change the date. We could
> hold the meeting any day the week of May 14 or week of May 21. The
> date of the public meeting should not have an impact on the end of the
> review period. DTSC should decide if an extension of 15 days to June
> 15 is appropriate.
>
> CHRISTOPHER M. FENNESSY, P.E.
> AEROJET ROCKETDYNE, INC.
> Engineering Manager, Site Remediation
> 11260 Pyrites Way, Suite 125
>
> Rancho Cordova, CA 95670
> Ph: 916-355-3341
> Fax: 916-355-6145
> Email: Christopher.Fennessy@Rocket.com
>
> FROM: MacNicholl, Peter@DTSC [mailto:Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov]
> SENT: Monday, April 16, 2018 11:35 AM
> TO: Fennessy, Christopher; Pickens, Tammy@DTSC
> CC: Keller, Lynn (Keller.Lynn@epa.gov); MacDonald, Alex@waterboards;
> Janis Heple; Lane, Jackie
> SUBJECT: [EXTERNAL] Area 40 RAP Public Meeting & Location - CAG
> Concerns
>
> Hi All,
>
> I just spoke with Janis Heple CAG chair re: the Area 40 Proposed Land
> Use Plan and Area 40 RAP public meeting & location.
>
> Janis is concerned that having the Area 40 RAP meeting at RC City Hall
> will not effectively engage or allow residents from the City of Folsom
> to participate in the decisions for Area 40, nor would RC residents be
> interested in City of Folsom land use concerns.
>
> Additionally, Janis is requesting that the CAG meeting take place on a
> separate day from the CAG. Janis is requesting that the CAG meeting
> occur first with an emphasis on the RAP public presentation so members
> will be more informed in advance of the actual RAP Public Meeting and
> will generate better more informed questions or concerns.
>
> As a group we need to decide where we will have the RAP public meeting

> (current outreach docs identify RC City Hall) and which day it will
> take place. Janis is also requesting a 45-day public comment period
> for the RAP. As I mentioned, DTSC's Public Notice, Community Update
> (Fact sheet), and CIP Supplemental Addendum all have RC City Hall
> identified. The final location will need to be updated in these
> documents if modified, once agreement is reached.
>
> Any thoughts on meeting location (Folsom or Rancho Cordova) and
> meeting date? Please advise.
>
> Janis Heple is cc'd on this correspondence.
>
> PETER MACNICHOLL, P.E.
>
> Department of Toxic Substances Control
>
> Project Manager
>
> Cleanup Program - Sacramento Office
>
> 8800 Cal Center Drive
>
> Sacramento, California 95826
>
> Ph: 916-255-3713
>
> Peter.MacNicholl@dtsc.ca.gov